For the Northern District of California

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8	JULIA POWELL KELLER-MCINTYRE,	No. C-06-3209 MMC (EMC)
9	Plaintiff,	
10	v.	ORDER RE PLAINTIFF'S E-MAIL OF OCTOBER 17, 2006, AND FUTURE
11	COLLEGE OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES, SAN FRANCISCO STATE	DISCOVERY DISPUTES
12	UNIVERSITY,	
13	Defendant.	
14		

On October 17, 2006, Plaintiff Julia Powell Keller-McIntyre sent an e-mail to the undersigned's Courtroom Deputy. The e-mail was addressed to the Court and asked for relief with respect to the deposition of Richard Dziadur, which was held the day before, and for prospective relief with respect to the deposition of Russell Kilday-Hicks, which was scheduled for the afternoon of October 17.

As a preliminary matter, the Court notes that, although Ms. Keller-McIntyre did not file any papers with the Court asking for relief and instead only sent an e-mail, it shall still consider her requests for relief. The Court has scheduled a conference call to discuss the discovery disputes with the parties.

For all future discovery disputes, the Court hereby imposes the following procedures. If a discovery dispute arises and the parties are unable to resolve the dispute after meeting and conferring in good faith, then the party seeking relief from the Court may file a letter brief, not to exceed two single-spaced pages, stating what the dispute is about and what the party's position on

the dispute is. If the dispute concerns written discovery (e.g., requests for production of documents,
interrogatories), then the party seeking relief should provide to the Court a copy of the discovery
requests as well as the opposing party's responses to the discovery requests. The opposing party
shall then have three court days to file a responsive letter brief, also not to exceed two single-spaced
pages. Thereafter, the Court shall decide whether further briefing is necessary and/or whether to
conduct a telephonic conference or hold a formal hearing.

Ms. Keller-McIntyre is warned that, in the future, if she desires any relief from the Court, then she must formally file a document with the Court asking for relief. An informal e-mail will **not be considered.** If, however, a dispute arises during a deposition and, "if disposition of the dispute during the discovery event likely would result in *substantial savings* of expense or time," Ms. Keller-McIntyre may telephone the Court's Courtroom Deputy "to ask if the Judge is available to address the problem through a telephone conference during the [deposition]." Civ. L.R. 37-1(b) (emphasis added). Before contacting the Courtroom Deputy, however, Ms. Keller-McIntyre must have attempted to resolve the matter without judicial intervention by meeting and conferring in good faith with the opposing party. See id.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 17, 2006

EDWARD M. CHEN United States Magistrate Judge

	Case 6.00 of 60200 million booking the 10/11/700 if age 6 of 6	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8	JULIA POWELL KELLER-MCINTYRE, No. C-06-3209 MMC (EMC)	
9	Plaintiff,	
10	v. CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
11	COLLEGE OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES, SAN FRANCISCO STATE	
12	2 UNIVERSITY,	
13	Defendant/	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20	receptacle located in the Office of the Clerk.	
22	Julia Powell Keller-McIntyre ALL OTHER COUNSEL/PARTIES TO	
23	P.O. Box 320662 San Francisco, CA 94132 RECEIVE ORDER VIA ELECTRONIC FILING	
24	415/314-4204 (cell) 415/405-3943 (work)	
25	Dated: October 17, 2006 RICHARD W. WIEKING, CLERK	
26		
27	By: Leni Doyle	
28	Deputy Clerk	
l		